

REMARKS


Responsive to the USPTO notice dated August 8, 2006, Applicants hereby supplement the prior response dated May 22, 2006 so as to include an interview summary for the telephone interview on November 15, 2005 between Examiner Troy Chambers and Attorney Brian Tumm.

The Examiner's interview summary record included with the November 22, 2005 Office Action is noted.

No exhibits or demonstrations were made. The interview included a discussion of the claim language "monitoring" including the step of "monitoring the receiver with the processor attached to the weapon" as found in Claim 7 as this claim stood on the date of the interview.

There is no disagreement as to the Examiner's characterization of the interview as recited in the Examiner's own Interview Summary to the extent that this Summary reflects the Examiner's position and the matters discussed during the November 15 interview. However, it was the Applicant's position as discussed in that interview that the term "monitoring" is supported inherently by the disclosure of the specification. When read in the context of the specification, this terminology was believed proper in the claims. No agreement was reached.

Respectfully submitted,


for Brian R. Tumm

BRT/ps

FLYNN, THIEL, BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C. 2026 Rambling Road Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1631 Phone: (269) 381-1156 Fax: (269) 381-5465	Dale H. Thiel David G. Boutell Terryence F. Chapman Mark L. Maki Liane L. Churney Brian R. Tumm Steven R. Thiel Donald J. Wallace Sidney B. Williams, Jr.	Reg. No. 24 323 Reg. No. 25 072 Reg. No. 32 549 Reg. No. 36 589 Reg. No. 40 694 Reg. No. 36 328 Reg. No. 53 685 Reg. No. 43 977 Reg. No. 24 949
--	---	---

Encl: Post card
136.07/05